Name of Student: _____

Final Score: | /100 |

Layout

0 Points	1 - 5 Points	6-9 Points	10-13 Points	14-17 Points	18-20 Points	Score
No organization at all.	Very poorly planned, limited sub-headings. Instructions not followed on style.	A rough layout followed but multiple major errors. Report is hard to follow.	Satisfactory for the work but errors still present in layout. Methods and results have no subdivision.	Layout is clear and makes sense. Minor errors associated with layout and placement of sections.	Perfectly layout, report is easy to follow, and all elements have been included.	

Grammar and Writing Style

0 Points	1 - 6 Points	7-11 Points	12-15 Points	16-20 Points	21-25 Points	Score
Awful. No grasp of the English language. Sentences don't make any sense	Many mistakes, awful use of punctuation. Sentences are long and some don't make any sense.	Poorly written, sentences unnecessarily meander, with plenty of spelling and punctuation mistakes.	Decently written, but with spelling mistakes still present. Sentences are ok but could do with rewording to make it easier to read.	Well written, limited spelling mistakes, and sentences are generally succinct, so it is easy to read.	Publication quality writing with no mistakes and well crafted, succinct, and easy to read sentences. 1 – 3 spelling mistakes maximum	

Report Content

0 Points	1 - 10 Points	11-22 Points	22-30 Points	31-35 Points	36-40 Points	Score
Completely lacking coherency or missing entirely.	Very poorly written, background is ignored, methods are flawed, results are barely acknowledged and lack any interpretation.	Written but with major errors. Poor description of background and methods used. Limited understanding and descriptions of the results and little interpretation. OR same as introduction section. Not mentioning new RS concept used, important methods and results missing.	Satisfactory for the work but requires much more detail in background and methods, results need explaining better and interpretations need work. Conclusion needs reflecting back.	Well written and clear; Introduction adequately describes background to report, methods are detailed and accurately describe the work done, results are detailed, and interpretation is good. Some minor details missing	Very well written, clear, precise and succinct. Brilliant attention to detail across all sections, and all details of results and interpretation are described well.	

Figures and Captions

0 Points	1 - 3 Points	4-7 Points	8-10 Points	Score
Missing entirely OR wrong image.	Figures and tables are limited, very poor quality and give limited information. Captions are either missing or written poorly. All required images not present.	Satisfactory for the work but needs to be higher quality. Figures may not be fully clear, and captions may require additional explanation.	Figures are high quality and tables are clearly written. Captions are succinct and accurately describe each figure with all details present- figure no., wavelength, bands used, sensor, resolution, which method used/enhancement used, year and location of image, what the image is showing.	

Lab Handout

0 Points	1 - 3 Points	4-5 Points	Score
Missing entirely.	Some of the answers are missing, answers written poorly or missing important information, some answers are incorrect.	Answers are clearly written with all information present, all questions answered.	